


Serial of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 4Name of the state- Assam District- Kokrajhar Election- State Assembly-2016


DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the Candidate	Pratibha Brahma, Hatimatha W No.- 8, P.O- Kokrajhar, Dist- Kokrajhar(Assam)
2	Political party affiliation, if any	No, Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	30 Kokrajhar East (ST) LAC
4	Name of the Elected Candidate	Smt. Pramila Rani Brahma
5	Date of declaration of result	19-05-2016
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	13-06-2016
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	18-06-2016
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
11	If the candidate has lodge the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account: (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	13-06-2016 17-06-2016

12	Whether account lodged in time	✓ Yes/No
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N/A
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Does not arise
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Does not arise
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in part-II of the abstract statement	Rs. 15,86,991.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961.	✓ Yes/No
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i)Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged.	N/A
	(ii)Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N/A
	(iii)Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/A
	(iv)Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N/A
	(v)Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A

18.	<p>(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect.</p> <p>(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect</p> <p>(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.</p>	Not Applicable in view of Column-16 above				
19	<p>Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.</p> <p>If No, then mention the following:</p>					✓ Yes/No
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the Candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
i	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ii.iii....	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TOTAL						
20	<p>Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.</p>					✓ Yes/No
21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to the above, give the following details:-</p>					Does not arise
	<p>(i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting.</p>					Does not arise
	<p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.</p>					Does not arise

	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Not Applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Does not arise
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Does not arise
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 Summary Report of DEO)  Date:- 18-06-2016	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No   Signature (Name of the DEO)

**Returning Officer**  
**30-Kokrajhar East (ST) LAC**

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 18-06-2016	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
---	--

\*If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.  
If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.